



Appendix O

EVALUATION FORM FOR TENURED AND TENURE-TRACK FACULTY

Evaluation of: \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluation as faculty member ( )

Evaluation as department chair/CHHS school director ( )

Academic Rank: \_\_\_\_\_

The categories below evaluate the faculty member's accomplishments during the period January through December \_\_\_\_\_. In exceptional cases an extension of this period may be made, but reasons for the extension must be fully documented and attached.

Table with 4 columns: Category, Below Department/CHHS School Standards, Meets Department/CHHS School Standards, Exceeds Department/CHHS School Standards. Rows include Teaching and Advising, Professional Development, Service to Department, School, University and/or Community, Overall Performance.

NOTES: Any evaluation of "below department standards" results in a "no merit" recommendation; in other words, "merit" recommendation requires ratings of "meets or exceeds department standards" in all three categories.

Merit Recommendation: Requires justification in narrative of Chair's/CHHS School Director's evaluation.

No Merit [ ] Merit [ ]

Tenure Recommendation: Requires justification from the Tenure Committee, Dept. Chair/CHHS School Director, and Dean (Appendix Q).

- 1) Unsatisfactory Progress Towards Tenure [ ]
2) Satisfactory Progress Towards Tenure [ ]
3) Not Applicable, already tenured [ ]

Chair/Evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_
Printed Name Signature Date

Employee Remarks: [ ]

Employee: I have read the above evaluation.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_